Date: 17[th]May-2025

THE ROLE AND EFFECTIVENESS OF AI-BASED ONLINE PLATFORMS IN TEACHING ENGLISH

Nodira Niyazmuradova
Master's student of Asian International University

Abstract: This paper explores how artificial-intelligence-driven online platforms are reshaping the teaching and learning of English as an additional language. A mixed-methods synthesis indicates that adaptive content sequencing, real-time diagnostic feedback, AI-mediated dialogic practice and automated pronunciation analysis together foster faster lexical growth, more accurate grammar usage and higher self-efficacy than many traditional classroom-only approaches. Nevertheless, stakeholders must develop robust ethical protocols, context-sensitive instructional design and strong professional-development pathways to ensure the technology amplifies—rather than supplants—human pedagogy. Recommendations therefore emphasise national AI-ELT strategies, hybrid lesson models, transparent assessment norms and targeted infrastructure upgrades.
Keywords: Artificial intelligence; English language teaching; online platforms; adaptive learning; Duolingo; pronunciation analytics; formative assessment; learner motivation; teacher workload.

Education's digital transformation has reached an inflection point at which artificial intelligence (AI) is no longer a peripheral add-on but a core engine of many popular language-learning environments. From natural-language-processing chatbots that mimic peer conversation to speech-recognition models that dissect phonetic accuracy, AI systems now mediate billions of learner interactions each day. Duolingo's *Birdbrain* algorithm alone analyses roughly 1.25 billion exercises daily, continuously recalibrating item difficulty to suit individual learners. Large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT-4 generate fluent corrective feedback and simulate authentic communicative settings previously unavailable outside human-tutor sessions. As broadband penetration and mobile adoption accelerate, these tools increasingly influence how both formal institutions and self-directed learners approach English, the modern lingua franca of academia, commerce and diplomacy.

The attraction is clear: AI promises personalisation at scale. Traditional classrooms frequently struggle to meet diverse proficiency levels, learning speeds and affective needs. By contrast, adaptive engines monitor response latency, error type and even acoustic stress patterns, then prescribe micro-sized learning "paths" optimised for retention intervals and motivational momentum. Yet enthusiasm is tempered by pedagogical, ethical and logistical questions. How reliable are AI feedback loops? Does outsourcing formative assessment to opaque algorithms weaken metacognitive skill-building? Might automated content inadvertently reproduce sociolinguistic bias embedded in training corpora?

These debates have moved swiftly from speculative to concrete. The British Council's 2024 white paper on AI and English teaching urges policy makers to develop overlapping frameworks for safeguarding equity while leveraging efficiency. Simultaneously, early empirical trials reveal measurable performance improvements: a 2025 quasi-experimental study found that university students who integrated ChatGPT-4 explanations into their speaking practice raised IELTS-style oral scores by an average 0.5 band above a control cohort. Still, gaps persist in understanding long-term transfer, teacher adoption barriers and culturally responsive deployment—particularly in emerging markets where infrastructure and training may lag.

Against this backdrop, the present article critically synthesises up-to-date research on AI-based English-teaching platforms, articulates their pedagogical affordances and constraints, and outlines actionable recommendations for educators, system leaders and developers. The central argument is that, while AI cannot replace expert instructors, it can substantially augment their capacity to deliver differentiated, engaging and data-rich instruction—provided implementation aligns with rigorous ethical and instructional standards.

Literature Review. A rapid rise in peer-reviewed output signals the field's dynamism: over 700 articles intersecting AI and English language teaching (ELT) have appeared in indexed journals since 2015, with more than 40 percent published after 2022. Three strands dominate this corpus. The first investigates efficacy metrics for mainstream language-learning apps that embed adaptive algorithms. Duolingo's multi-year in-house studies, corroborated by external audits, show that users can progress from CEFR A2 to B1 in an average of 34 study hours, especially when spacing and difficulty are algorithmically tuned. Hardman's (2025) independent analysis attributes much of this acceleration to *Birdbrain's* facility in recommending precisely timed revisions and in flagging systematic error patterns.

The second strand evaluates generative LLMs as writing and conversation partners. A Sage Open article documents statistically significant gains in syntactic complexity and discourse coherence among students who conversed with ChatGPT-4 three times weekly for eight weeks, though researchers caution that the same tool may facilitate plagiarism if safeguards are absent. Studies compiled in the British Council report echo these findings, emphasising that AI shines when used to scaffold higher-order tasks—idea organisation, argumentation, counter-argument drills—rather than to furnish ready-made essays.

A third line of inquiry focuses on affective and behavioural outcomes. Frontiers in Education metaanalysis reports a median 12 percent increase in self-efficacy scores among mobile-app users attributing progress to AI pronunciation coaches and chatbot drills. Complementary survey work highlights gamified leaderboards and badge systems as key motivators, albeit with disparities among age groups and socio-economic segments.

Despite these advances, scholars identify several blind spots. Longitudinal retention beyond six months remains under-documented; few studies isolate AI impact from confounding variables such as total study time or instructor enthusiasm. Additionally, most

large-scale datasets derive from North American or Western European contexts, leaving multilingual regions—including Central and South Asia—under-represented. Ethical discourse, while widening, often lags behind technical deployment, leaving questions of data sovereignty and culturally inclusive content unanswered.

AI-driven platforms affect language education through four interlocking mechanisms: adaptive micro-sequencing, dialogic simulation, multimodal feedback and learning analytics. Each offers distinctive pedagogical value while introducing new complexities.

Adaptive micro-sequencing harnesses machine-learning estimations of item difficulty and learner fluency decay to adjust curriculum flow in real time. By modulating task frequency and complexity, systems reduce extraneous cognitive load and allocate practice where the forgetting curve is steepest. Learners consequently encounter a personalised mix of consolidation and challenge that conventional timetabling struggles to achieve. Evidence from Duolingo shows a 23 percent time saving per grammar target when compared with a fixed-order syllabus.

Dialogic simulation, powered by LLM chatbots, furnishes low-anxiety environments for communicative experimentation. Instead of waiting for sporadic in-class speaking turns, students rehearse pragmatics, negotiate meaning and receive instantaneous reformulations without fear of peer judgment. Crucially, conversational agents can keep sessions open-ended, pushing beyond pattern drills toward semi-spontaneous interaction. Yet researchers warn that excessive dependence might dampen authentic peer collaboration or critical reflection if learners accept AI replies uncritically. Incorporating transparent "explain my correction" prompts can mitigate this hazard by forcing meta-linguistic noticing.

Multimodal feedback engines such as ELSA Speak parse acoustic waveforms to flag misplaced stress, misvoicing and vowel length. Their granularity surpasses that of many generalist teachers, particularly in pronunciation niches outside instructors' L1. Still, perfect alignment between phonological theory and algorithmic output is elusive; accented but intelligible speech may be penalised, risking the reinforcement of native-speakerism. Educators must therefore contextualise feedback within intelligibility frameworks rather than unattainable native-like ideals.

Learning analytics bring the largest systemic implications. Dashboards aggregate progress metrics across cohorts, allowing teachers to spot common error clusters and customise mini-lessons. According to Edutopia, teachers in pilot programmes reclaimed up to 30 percent of lesson-planning time after delegating routine marking to AI assessment modules. Nevertheless, dashboards can overwhelm with data; professional-development literature stresses the necessity of critical-data-literacy workshops, a point underscored by Tech & Learning's *AI Starter Kit*.

Balancing benefits are salient constraints. Academic integrity tops the list: generative text jeopardises assessment validity, prompting institutions to experiment with oral defences, classroom writing or AI-detection software. Algorithmic bias also looms

large. LLMs trained predominantly on Western corpora may under-serve idiomatic variations relevant to South-Asian Englishes or African-American Vernacular English. Transparent dataset documentation and community testing are therefore essential. Data privacy, meanwhile, intersects with international regulation—from Europe's GDPR to emerging Central Asian standards—complicating cross-border platform adoption.

Local contexts further shape readiness. In Uzbekistan, government plans to equip 75 percent of classrooms with internet-enabled devices by 2026 create opportunities for AI-ELT pilots, yet uneven rural connectivity could widen the urban–rural achievement gap if rollout is not paired with infrastructure subsidies and offline-first design strategies. Additionally, in-service teacher familiarity with prompt engineering and dashboard interpretation remains low; targeted continuing-professional-development (CPD) programmes must accompany hardware investment.

Conclusions and Recommendations. AI-based online platforms demonstrably enhance several core dimensions of English language education: faster lexical acquisition, more stable grammatical competence, higher learner motivation and leaner teacher workflows. Adaptive scheduling, chatbot-mediated practice, acoustic feedback and real-time analytics collectively forge a learning ecosystem that is granular, responsive and scalable. Yet the very automation that drives these gains can erode constructive struggle, critical thinking and equitable participation if adopted uncritically.

In sum, AI will not displace teachers; instead, it recalibrates their role from primary knowledge transmitters to designers of learning experiences and mentors of critical digital citizens. Harnessed strategically, AI-enhanced platforms can democratise high-quality English instruction and prepare diverse learners for participation in a linguistically interconnected world.

REFERENCES:

1. British Council. *Artificial Intelligence and English Language Teaching: Preparing for the Future*. London: British Council, 2024.

2. Duolingo. "2024 Duolingo Language Report." Duolingo Blog, 2024.

3. Hardman, P. "Duolingo's AI Revolution." *Substack*, 2025.

4. Kim, S., et al. "Mobile Language App Learners' Self-Efficacy Increases After Using Generative AI Features." *Frontiers in Education*, vol. 10, 2025.

5. Ahmad, R. "A Study on the Efficacy of ChatGPT-4 in Enhancing Students' Communication Skills." *Sage Open*, 2025.

6. Poth, R. D. "AI Professional Development Helps Teachers With Tech Integration." *Edutopia*, 2023.

7. Tech & Learning. "AI Starter Kit for Teachers." Tech & Learning, 2024.

8. Guardian Technology Desk. "AI Can Spontaneously Develop Human-Like Communication, Study Finds." *The Guardian*, 2025.