

Saydumarova Dilobar Nasirovna

Fergana State University, Academic Lyceum, English language teacher,

dilyasaydumarova@gmail.com

Abstract: The role of grammar instruction in communicative language teaching has been widely debated in second language pedagogy. While traditional approaches emphasize explicit rule-based instruction, communicative approaches prioritize meaning-focused interaction. This article argues that grammar and communication are complementary rather than contradictory. Drawing on focus-on-form instruction and task-based language teaching, the article examines how grammatical competence can be developed through meaningful communication. It further discusses classroom strategies, benefits, and challenges of communicative grammar instruction, highlighting its potential to enhance both accuracy and fluency in EFL contexts.

Keywords: communicative grammar, focus on form, EFL, task-based learning, grammar instruction

1. Introduction

Grammar instruction has long occupied a central position in language teaching. In many educational contexts, grammar has traditionally been taught through explicit explanation followed by mechanical practice. Although this approach may result in short-term gains in accuracy, learners often struggle to transfer grammatical knowledge to real communication. As a response to these limitations, communicative language teaching (CLT) emerged, emphasizing the use of language for meaningful interaction rather than the mastery of isolated grammatical forms.

However, early interpretations of CLT were sometimes criticized for insufficient attention to grammar, leading to fossilization and persistent errors. Contemporary research suggests that effective grammar teaching does not require abandoning communicative principles. Instead, grammar instruction can be integrated into communicative activities in ways that support both meaning and form. This article explores how grammar can be taught through communication and outlines pedagogical strategies for implementing this approach in EFL classrooms.

2. Theoretical Background

2.1 Grammar as a Resource for Meaning

Within communicative frameworks, grammar is viewed not as a set of prescriptive rules but as a resource that enables learners to express meaning accurately and appropriately. Richards (2006) argues that grammatical competence supports communicative competence by allowing learners to convey precise meanings and manage

interaction effectively. From this perspective, grammar instruction should be linked to language use rather than treated as an isolated component.

2.2 Focus-on-Form Instruction

Focus-on-form (FonF) provides a principled approach to integrating grammar into communication. According to Long (2015), focus on form involves drawing learners' attention to linguistic features that arise incidentally during meaning-focused interaction. This approach differs from traditional focus-on-forms instruction, which teaches grammatical structures in isolation. Empirical studies suggest that FonF is particularly effective for supporting grammatical development while maintaining communicative engagement, especially at intermediate levels.

2.3 Task-Based Language Teaching

Task-based language teaching (TBLT) offers a practical framework for communicative grammar instruction. Tasks require learners to use language to achieve a non-linguistic outcome, such as solving a problem or making a decision (Ellis, 2003). Grammar instruction can be incorporated at different stages of a task cycle, including pre-task preparation, task performance, and post-task reflection. This allows learners to notice, practice, and consolidate grammatical forms in meaningful contexts.

3. Teaching Grammar through Communication: Classroom Strategies

3.1 Grammar Embedded in Communicative Tasks

Communicative tasks provide natural opportunities for grammar use. For example, narrative tasks can be used to practice past tenses, while decision-making tasks may elicit modal verbs or conditional structures. By carefully selecting tasks that require the target grammar, teachers can ensure that learners use grammatical forms purposefully rather than mechanically.

3.2 Guided Discovery and Noticing Activities

Guided discovery encourages learners to explore grammatical patterns through examples rather than receiving rules directly. Teachers may present short texts, dialogues, or listening extracts and ask learners to identify patterns or differences in form and meaning. This process promotes noticing, which is considered a crucial condition for language development. Such activities also support learner autonomy and deeper cognitive engagement.

3.3 Interaction, Feedback, and Reformulation

Classroom interaction plays a central role in communicative grammar teaching. During pair or group work, teachers can provide feedback through recasts, clarification requests, or prompts that encourage self-correction. Reformulating learners' utterances in a more accurate form allows grammatical feedback to be provided without interrupting the flow of communication. This type of feedback has been shown to support accuracy while preserving learners' willingness to communicate.

4. Benefits and Challenges of Communicative Grammar Instruction

4.1 Benefits

Teaching grammar through communication offers several pedagogical advantages. It increases learner engagement by linking grammar to meaningful use, supports the development of both fluency and accuracy, and helps learners understand how grammatical forms function in real interaction. Additionally, learners are more likely to retain grammatical knowledge when it is learned through purposeful communication rather than rote memorization.

4.2 Challenges

Despite its benefits, communicative grammar instruction also presents challenges. Teachers may face time constraints, large class sizes, or exam-oriented curricula that prioritize explicit grammar knowledge. In addition, some teachers may feel uncertain about how to balance communicative activities with systematic grammar coverage. These challenges highlight the importance of teacher training and reflective practice.

5. Pedagogical Implications

To implement communicative grammar instruction effectively, teachers should design lessons that integrate grammar into communicative tasks rather than separating form and meaning. Clear learning objectives, appropriate scaffolding, and careful task sequencing are essential. Teacher education programs should also provide practical training in focus-on-form techniques and task-based lesson design to support classroom application.

6. Conclusion

Teaching grammar through communication offers a principled and balanced response to the long-standing tension between accuracy-focused and meaning-focused approaches in language pedagogy. Rather than viewing grammar instruction and communicative practice as competing priorities, this approach conceptualizes grammar as an integral component of meaningful language use. By embedding grammatical instruction within communicative activities, learners are provided with opportunities to notice, practice, and internalize grammatical forms in ways that directly support their ability to communicate effectively.

The discussion in this article has demonstrated that focus-on-form instruction and task-based language teaching provide robust theoretical and practical frameworks for implementing communicative grammar teaching. These approaches allow teachers to address grammatical accuracy at moments when learners are cognitively ready to attend to form, without disrupting the flow of interaction. As a result, learners are able to develop greater grammatical control while maintaining engagement and fluency, particularly at the intermediate and upper-intermediate levels.

Importantly, teaching grammar through communication also has implications for learner motivation and classroom dynamics. When grammar is presented as a resource for expressing meaning rather than as an abstract set of rules, learners are more likely to perceive its relevance and value. Communicative grammar activities encourage collaboration, negotiation of meaning, and learner agency, all of which contribute to a more supportive and interactive learning environment. This is especially significant in

contexts where learners may experience anxiety or low confidence when using the target language.

At the pedagogical level, adopting a communicative approach to grammar requires careful lesson planning, clear instructional objectives, and a willingness to adapt traditional practices. Teachers must make informed decisions about task design, scaffolding, and feedback strategies to ensure that grammatical development is systematically supported. This underscores the importance of teacher education programs that equip educators with practical strategies for integrating form-focused instruction into communicative lessons.

Finally, while the benefits of teaching grammar through communication are well supported in the literature, further empirical research is needed to examine its long-term impact across diverse educational contexts and learner populations. Future studies could explore how different types of communicative tasks influence grammatical development, or how learners at varying proficiency levels respond to focus-on-form instruction. Overall, teaching grammar through communication represents a sustainable and learner-centered approach that aligns grammatical accuracy with the broader goal of communicative competence in contemporary language education.

REFERENCES:

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford University Press.

Long, M. H. (2015). *Second language acquisition and task-based language teaching*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Richards, J. C. (2006). *Communicative language teaching today*. Cambridge University Press.